

Please note that the wording of questionnaire questions set forth in the motions below and in the motions in all prior minutes is merely suggested for the purpose of BRS debate and is subject to refinement.

Call to Order

A weekly meeting of ICC BRS was held on Wednesday, 23rd of December 2015 at the North York Civic Centre. It began at 6:30 PM and was presided over by Shahram Tabe-Mohammadi, with Tayaz Fakhri as secretary.

Attendees:

Subcommittee Members in Attendance: Behzad Jazizadeh, David Mousavi, Kaveh Shahrooz, Mehrdokht Hadi, Mojtaba Adibrad, Pantea Jafari, Shahram Tabe-Mohammadi, Tayaz Fakhri.

Absent: None.

Approval of Minutes:

A motion to approve the minutes of the previous Friday 18th of December meeting was made by Shahram and seconded. The meeting minutes were unanimously approved and adopted.

Next Meeting:

The group discussed possible dates to meet after the holidays. It was decided that Friday January 8th @ 7pm would be most suitable.

Questionnaire notes:

8 explanations out of 15 are done so far.

Farsi Questions are done and explanations could be added if needed.

Consultation Session:

The group discussed who the invitees to the initial consultation session should be, noting that there would be a separate session open to the public. There was further discussion about the mechanism by which the discussion at the consultation session could be scheduled and other organizational provisions; for instance, it was decided to use doodle as a reliable and transparent tool-set as well as organizing for multiple options and sessions of consultation so that most people could join and to increase accessibility.

A motion was put forth to invite founders of the ICC to the initial consultation. **The motion was defeated** by a vote of 1 yes (Mojtaba Adib Rad) and 6 no's (Behzad Jazizadeh, Kaveh Shahrooz, Mehrdokht Hadi, Pantea Jafari, Shahram Tabe-Mohammadi, Tayaz Fakhri) [David Mousavi wasn't present at this time].

A vote on whether to invite the influential people **was defeated** due to its broad definition and ambiguous terms, by all 8 members.

Preparation for the Consultation Session:

Hence, a draft of the prepared questionnaire should be sent to all invitees and the invitation letter will be revised in future meetings.

It was also mentioned that BRS has the option to invite other influential people in future to its usual meeting for the purpose of consultation for questionnaire and bylaws if it deemed necessary.

Motions Regarding Questionnaire

(A) Membership's Minimum Age:

Motion: Moved by Tayaz, and seconded, that "the questionnaire contain a question about whether to increase the minimum age of membership and voting age of members."

A discussion followed concerning the urgency of this issue to be changed and the significance to add it to the questionnaire and not confusing the survey attendees by increasing the number of questions. Therefore it was proposed to get back to this question in future and discuss it.

The option to include this question in questionnaire was defeated by a vote of 0 in favor and all 8 against.

(B) Required Signatures to Call for Special General Meeting (SGM):

Motion: Moved by Tayaz, and seconded, that "the questionnaire contain a question about whether the ICC should require 10% (instead of "20%+40") Signatures to call for an SGM."

A discussion followed around the legal perspective for this issue and that the figure or NGOs in Ontario ought to be 10%. The fact that this is a measure to protect minority rights, and yet, it should be compatible to the quorum percentile figure that needs to be decided by the general members.

The motion to include this question in the questionnaire was defeated by a vote of 1 in favour (Shahram), and 7 against (Kaveh, Mehrdokht, Mojtaba, Tayaz, David, Pantea, and Behzad).

(C) Fixed vs. Floating Membership Dates:

Motion: Moved by Tayaz, and seconded, that "the questionnaire contain a question about whether the ICC's renewal date for membership to become the 21st of March of each year."

A discussion followed around the logistics and PR issues and solutions of converting into a fixed membership dates. And it was discussed that from a perspective this is not entirely a bylaws issues and could be seen as a technology and logistical issue as well which might change the current organization of renewing memberships.

The motion to include this question in the questionnaire was defeated by a vote of 2 abstained (Shahram and Pantea), and 6 against (Kaveh, Mehrdokht, Mojtaba, David, and Behzad).

Secretary

Tayaz Fakhri

Date of Approval

12 January 2016